

MARCH 21 FORUM, TREATMENT TRACK SESSIONS

JAY WALL-MODERATOR, JOHN HUDGENS-FACILITATOR

There were 7 persons in attendance for session 1

There were 6 persons in attendance for session 2

No Law Enf personnel in this session. This track would likely be of little interest to anyone but an assessor or someone evaluating for treatment.

POINTS	SUBJECT MATTER
LINDA CLARK	see ppt (basically just read the material on the slides, not much additional)
ADSAC	Definition, legal authority, mission
DMHSAS	responsibilities for ADSAC, certification, training, etc
CHRONOLOGY	began Nov 1985, assessment began in 1993 7/1/2003 became evidenced based, had to meet assessment guidelines
ADSAC ASSESSMENT PROCESS	short summary as on ppt tools used to assess based on ASAM criteria pre and post test Use of the Cleveland Criteria Motivation is fundamental to change
POST TEST RESULTS	Not routinely given to court unless requested
RESEARCH RESULTS ON RECIDIVISIM	OK HAS CURRENT 4.2% RECIDIVISM RATE
MAUREEN GUERRERO	
CLINICAL INTERVENTIONS	USE OF DRI II scale, ASI
AVERAGE AGE OF OFFENDERS IS 33	AND OTHER STATS
NEISHA WILSON	
PARTNERSHIPS	see ppt
Disagreements on how to determine correct amount of treatment	DMHSAS takes the position there should be more evaluation and review to better determine what level of supervision / treatment should be required. Think sometime we use jail as a treatment center when not necessary.
OK is #1 in nation woman incarceration rate	Oklahoma jails more women than any other state in the nation. Oklahoma is 3rd or 4th in men's incarceration rate
Risk Assessments	The "Big 8" factors
RISK AND NEED	ppt
600-1200 PERSONS ON WAITING LIST FOR TREATMENT	There are not enough beds to handle the load.
OFFENDER SCREENINGS	new program - allows DMHSAS to contract with providers to provide screenings and make referrals for prison diversion programs
DRUG COURTS ARE DUI COURTS	
RAY CAESAR	
NEW DATA SHARING AGREEMENT BETWEEN DMHSAS AND DPS IS PARTIALLY COMPLETED	DPS will send electronic records of all license revocations related to ID offenses, certain drug convictions, etc, to DMHSAS to aid in assessments. Upon completion, electronic notice sent back to DPS showing completion of the assessment. This will, for the most part, eliminate the need for the paper certificates now being provided (which can be fraudulently created without much trouble).
PROPOSED PART OF AGREEMENT	DPS will inform DMHSAS of license reinstatement - proposed by DMHSAS but not yet agreed to by DPS.
BENEFITS OF DATA SHARING	see ppt
Q&A DISCUSSION TOPICS	Looked at some of the recommendations from the assessment
Main Point #1	Believe that the results of an assessment should be reviewed by the court before sentencing. Now those results are only submitted to the court "upon request".

Main Point #2	There are 3 "drug courts" in OK (Tulsa Co, OK Co and Creek Co) but there should be a movement to make all "DUI courts" (73 at this time) a "hybrid" DUI/Drug court since they follow basically the same guidelines.
Main Point #3	Complete and expand the electronic system between DPS and DMHSAS to allow for the interchange of all data regarding assessments and arrest history.