


Oklahoma Methamphetamine Prevention Initiative

Statewide Data

Krista Rhoades

Decision Support Services


Oklahoma Department of Mental
Health and Substance Abuse
Services




Admitted Consumers Served for Meth

- Data from ODMHSAS, state-funded treatment
- All consumers were in substance abuse treatment
- Consumers specified meth as their primary drug of choice
- Counties represent the consumer's county of residence at the time of admission to treatment
- Rates per 10,000 were calculated based on U.S. Census population estimates for the beginning of each fiscal year


Methamphetamine Treatment Admission Rates by County 2004




Methamphetamine Treatment Admission Rates by County 2005



Methamphetamine Treatment Admission Rates by County 2006



Methamphetamine Treatment Admission Rates by County 2004 to 2006



Admitted Consumers Served for Meth

Rates of Meth as Primary Drug of Choice for the Top 5 Ranked Counties

3-Year Rate from FY04-FY06


Ranking	County	Rate
1	Beckham	33.82
2	Muskogee	29.00
3	Atoka	28.52
4	McCurtain	28.12
5	Washita	27.76
	Statewide	10.67

Treatment Episode Dataset (TEDS)

- TEDS data is supposed to be submitted to SAMHSA's Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT) by all states
- These data include a complete episode from admission to discharge
- Data were from 2005
- Primary drug of choice at admission was methamphetamine
- Percent of all admissions


Changes in Drug of Choice

- ODMHSAS-funded treatment
- Based on primary drug of choice at admission
- Counts of admitted consumers served in substance abuse treatment



Top Three Drugs of Choice for Substance Abuse Admitted Consumers Served from FY00-FY06

<u>Drug of Choice</u>	<u>FY00</u>	<u>FY01</u>	<u>FY02</u>	<u>FY03</u>	<u>FY04</u>	<u>FY05</u>	<u>FY06</u>
Alcohol	7,389	7,382	7,468	7,370	6,970	6,895	6,486
Marijuana/Hashish	2,993	3,180	3,586	3,678	3,864	3,925	3,861
Methamphetamine	1,917	2,410	2,800	2,888	3,430	3,692	3,906




Percent Change Alcohol: -12%, Marijuana: 29%, Methamphetamine: 104%

Admitted Consumers Served-Top 3 Drugs of Choice for Targeted Counties in FY06

<u>County</u>	<u>Drug of Choice</u>	<u>Count</u>	<u>Rate</u>
<i>Atoka</i>	Methamphetamine	49	34.2
	Alcohol	33	23.0
	Marijuana/Hashish	25	17.4
<i>Beckham</i>	Alcohol	68	36.2
	Methamphetamine	48	25.5
	Marijuana/Hashish	47	25.0
<i>McCurtain</i>	Methamphetamine	113	33.4
	Alcohol	70	20.7
	Marijuana/Hashish	55	16.2
<i>Muskogee</i>	Methamphetamine	189	26.7
	Marijuana/Hashish	143	20.2
	Alcohol	133	18.8
<i>Washita</i>	Methamphetamine	32	28.0
	Alcohol	22	19.2
<i>Statewide</i>	Alcohol	6,486	18.3
	Methamphetamine	3,906	11.0
	Marijuana/Hashish	3,861	10.9

Synthetic Narcotics Arrests

- Taken from the Uniform Crime Report (UCR)
 - Combined Sales/Manufacturing and Possession
 - Combined adult and juvenile
 - Calendar years 2003-2005
 - Rates per 10,000 were calculated using U.S. Census population estimates for that year
- 

Synthetic Narcotics Arrests

Synthetic Narcotics Arrests from the UCR for 2003 to 2005 Combined

County	Rate	Rank
Haskell	58.29	1
Sequoyah	54.20	2
Custer	50.67	3
LeFlore	50.57	4
Cotton	50.00	5
Beckham		
Beckham	45.33	6
Washita	43.84	7
McCurtain	23.99	24
Muskogee	19.11	36
Atoka	18.24	39
Statewide	13.06	n/a

Meth Labs


- Obtained from OSBI
- OSBI, OBN and DEA all report meth labs differently
 - Fiscal vs. Calendar year
 - Lab incidents, including all dump sites vs. reported labs vs. lab seizures
- Statewide data does not include labs processed by OCPD and TPD
- County-level data includes OKCPD and TPD, calculated as rates per 10,000 using U.S. Census estimates for 2005

Meth Labs

Clandestine Labs Submitted to OSBI from FY00 to FY06

	<i>FY00</i>	<i>FY01</i>	<i>FY02</i>	<i>FY03</i>	<i>FY04</i>	<i>FY05</i>	<i>FY06</i>
Labs	897	938	824	911	722	374	159

Clan Labs Submitted to OSBI



Meth Labs

Walk-In Clandestine Labs from 2005-2006			
	Two-Year Rate		
County	Labs	Rate	Rank
SEQUOYAH	46	5.60	1
PUSHMATAHA	13	5.58	2
MCCURTAIN	30	4.42	3
ADAIR	14	3.16	4
MAJOR	4	2.73	5
WASHITA	4	1.74	7
MUSKOGEE	15	1.06	16
ATOKA	1	0.35	41
BECKHAM	1	0.26	44
Statewide	473	0.66	n/a

Meth Labs

- Nationwide data from DEA
- All meth incidents: labs, dumpsites, chemical or glassware seizures
- Calendar year 2006



Oklahoma Prevention Needs Assessment

- Funded through a grant administered by ODMHSAS
- This survey is conducted in schools with 6th, 8th, 10th and 12th grade students
- The OPNA is conducted in the spring of every other year; these data are from 2006
- Individual schools decide whether or not to participate

Oklahoma Prevention Needs Assessment

Methamphetamine Use Data from the OPNA for 2006 for the Targeted Counties

County	Number of Students Surveyed				Total Students
	6th	8th	10th	12th	
Atoka	0	0	21	0	21
Beckham	139	153	122	108	522
McCurtain	50	35	53	43	181
Muskogee	131	108	0	0	239
Washita	0	54	45	35	134
Statewide	12,013	11,635	11,042	7,847	42,537

Oklahoma Prevention Needs Assessment

Methamphetamine Use Data from the OPNA for 2006 for the Targeted Counties

County	Percent Ever Used Meth				Total Students
	6th	8th	10th	12th	
Atoka	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a	21
Beckham	0.8	3.4	2.5	1.9	522
McCurtain	0.0	5.9	0.0	2.3	181
Muskogee	0.0	2.9	n/a	n/a	239
Washita	n/a	3.9	8.9	2.9	134
Statewide	0.4	1.7	3.5	4.8	42,537

Oklahoma Prevention Needs Assessment

Methamphetamine Use Data from the OPNA for 2006 for the Targeted Counties

County	Percent 30-Day Use for Meth				Total Students
	6th	8th	10th	12th	
Atoka	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a	21
Beckham	0.0	2.7	0.8	0.0	522
McCurtain	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	181
Muskogee	0.0	1.0	n/a	n/a	239
Washita	n/a	0.0	4.4	2.9	134
Statewide	0.1	0.4	1.0	1.0	42,537

Questions? Comments?

Krista Rhoades

krhoades@odmhsas.org

(405)522-8599

