

**OKLAHOMA STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY AND BARBERING**

**DECEMBER 15, 2014**

**RULEMAKING ACTION:**

Rule Impact Statement – Chapter 15

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULES:**

House Bill 1467 became effective November 1, 2013. It combined the Barber Advisory Board with the Cosmetology Board. The Barber rules became Chapter 15 of the Cosmetology rules in Title 175. The Board amended rules in the 2014 legislative session that became effective November 1, 2014 that combined Barber rules with the Cosmetology rules because the professions are so similar and the rules were significantly the same initially. To comply with House Bill 1467, the Board is now revoking the Barber rules in Chapter 15.

**DESCRIPTION OF THE CLASSES OF PERSONS WHO MOST LIKELY WILL BE AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULES, INCLUDING CLASSES THAT WILL BEAR THE COSTS OF THE PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULES, AND ANY INFORMATION ON COST IMPACTS RECEIVED BY THE AGENCY FROM ANY PRIVATE OF PUBLIC ENTITIES:**

There will be no effect in the revocation of the rules in Chapter 15 because the Board has adopted the rules in other Chapters of Title 175.

**DESCRIPTION OF THE CLASSES OF PERSON WHO WILL BENEFIT FROM THE PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULES:**

No classes of person will benefit or not benefit from the proposed revocation of rules.

**DESCRIPTION OF THE PROBABLE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULES UPON AFFECTED CLASSES OF PERSONS OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS, INCLUDING A LISTING OF ALL FEE CHANGES AND, WHENEVER POSSIBLE, A SEPARATE JUSTIFICATION FOR EACH FEE CHANGE:**

There will be no economic impact of the proposed revocation of rules upon affected classes of persons or political subdivisions.

**PROBABLE COSTS AND BENEFIT TO THE AGENCY AND TO ANY OTHER AGENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULES, THE SOURCE OF REVENUE TO BE USED FOR IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE PROPOSED RULE, AND ANY ANTICIPATED EFFECT ON STATE REVENUES, INCLUDING A PROJECTED NET LOSS OR GAIN IN SUCH REVENUES IF IT CAN BE PROJECTED BY THE AGENCY:**

There is no cost to the agency for the revocation of rules.

**DETERMINATION ON WHETHER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROPOSED RULE WILL HAVE AN ECONOMIC IMPACT ON ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS OR REQUIRE COOPERATION IN IMPLEMENTING OR ENFORCING THE RULE:**

There is no cost for revocation of the rules.

**EXPLANATION OF THE MEASURES THE AGENCY HAS TAKEN TO MINIMIZE COMPLIANCE COSTS AND A DETERMINATION OF WHETHER THERE ARE LESS COSTLY OR NONREGULATORY METHODS OR LESS INTRUSIVE METHODS FOR ACHIEVING THE PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE:**

There is no cost for revocation of the rules.

**DETERMINATION OF THE EFFECT OF THE PROPOSED RULE ON THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT AND IF THE PROPOSED RULE IS DESIGNED TO REDUCE SIGNIFICANT RISKS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT, AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE RISK AND TO WHAT EXTENT THE PROPOSED RULE WILL REDUCE THE RISK.**

There is no concern regarding the revocation of the rules of this Chapter because the Board, in 2014, amended rules in Chapters 1 and Chapters 10 to include the barbering profession to ensure continued protection of the public.

**DETERMINATION OF ANY DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT IF THE PROPOSED RULE IS NOT IMPLEMENTED:**

There is no detrimental effect on the public health, safety and environment if the rules are not revoked. The Board is revoking them to be in compliance with House Bill 1467.

**DATE THE RULE IMPACT STATEMENT WAS PREPARED:**

December 15, 2014