DYNAMIC LEARNING MAPS ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS

FOR

Mathematics

Background on the Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements

The Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements are specific statements of knowledge and skills linked to the grade-level expectations identified in the Common Core State Standards. The purpose of the Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements is to build a bridge from the content in the Common Core State Standards to academic expectations for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The initial draft of the Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements (then called the Common Core Essential Elements) was released in the spring of 2012.

The initial version of the Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements (DLM EEs) was developed by a group of educators and content specialists from the 12 member states of the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment Consortium (DLM) in the spring of 2011. Led by Edvantia, Inc., a sub-contractor of DLM, representatives from each state education agency and the educators and content specialists they selected developed the original draft of DLM EEs. Experts in mathematics and English language arts, as well as individuals with expertise in instruction for students with significant cognitive disabilities reviewed the draft documents. Edvantia then compiled the information into the version released in the spring of 2012.

Concurrent with the development of the DLM EEs, the DLM consortium was actively engaged in building learning maps in mathematics and English language arts. The DLM learning maps are highly connected representations of how academic skills are acquired, as reflected in research literature. In the case of the DLM project, the Common Core State Standards helped to specify academic targets, while the surrounding map content clarified how students could reach the specified standard. Learning maps of this size had not been previously developed, and as a result, alignment between the DLM EEs and the learning maps was not possible until the fall of 2012, when an initial draft of the learning maps was available for review.

Alignment of the DLM EEs to the DLM Learning Maps

Teams of content experts worked together to revise the initial version of the DLM EEs and the learning maps to ensure appropriate alignment of these two critical elements of the project. Alignment involved horizontal alignment of the DLM EEs with the Common Core State Standards and vertical alignment of the DLM EEs with meaningful progressions in the learning maps. The alignment process began when researchers Caroline Mark and Kelli Thomas compared the learning maps with the initial version of the DLM EEs to determine how the map and the DLM EEs should be adjusted to improve their alignment. The teams of content experts most closely involved with this alignment work included:

Mathematics	English Language Arts
Kelli Thomas, Ph.D. (co-lead)	Caroline Mark, Ph.D. (lead)
Angela Broaddus, Ph.D. (co-lead)	Jonathan Schuster, Ph.D.
Perneet Sood	Russell Swinburne Romine, Ph.D.
Kristin Joannou	Suzanne Peterson
Bryan Candea Kromm	

These teams worked in consultation with Sue Bechard, Ph.D. and Karen Erickson, Ph.D., who offered guidance based on their experience in alternate assessments of students with significant cognitive disabilities.

The Alignment Process

The process of aligning the learning map and the DLM EEs began by identifying nodes in the maps that represented the essential elements in mathematics and English language arts. This process revealed areas in the maps where additional nodes were needed to account for incremental growth reflected from an essential element in one grade to the next. Also identified were areas in which an essential element was out of place developmentally, according to research, with other essential elements. For example, adjustments were made when an essential element related to a higher-grade map node appeared earlier on the map than an essential element related to a map node from a lower grade (e.g., a fifth-grade skill preceded a third-grade skill). Finally, the alignment process revealed DLM EEs that were actually written as instructional tasks rather than learning outcomes.

This initial review step provided the roadmap for subsequent revision of both the learning maps and the DLM EEs. The next step in the DLM project was to develop the claims document, which served as the basis for the evidence-centered design of the DLM project and helped to further refine both the modeling of academic learning in the maps and the final revisions to the DLM EEs.

Claims and Conceptual Areas

The DLM system uses a variant of evidence-centered design (ECD) as the framework for developing the DLM Alternate Assessment System. While ECD is multifaceted, it starts with a set of claims regarding important knowledge in the domains of interest (mathematics and English language arts), as well as an understanding of how that knowledge is acquired. Two sets of claims have been developed for DLM that identify the major domains of interest within mathematics and English language arts for students with significant cognitive disabilities. These claims are broad statements about expected student learning that serve to focus the scope of the assessment. Because the learning map identifies particular paths to the acquisition of academic skills, the claims also help to organize the structures in the learning map for this population of students. Specifically, conceptual areas within the map further define the knowledge and skills required to meet the broad claims identified by DLM.

The claims are also significant because they provide another means through which to evaluate alignment between the DLM EEs and the learning map nodes, and serve as the foundation for evaluating the validity of inferences made from test scores. DLM EEs related to a particular claim and conceptual area must clearly link to one another, and the learning map must reflect how that knowledge is acquired. Developing the claims and conceptual areas for DLM provided a critical framework for organizing nodes on the learning maps and, accordingly, the DLM EEs that align with each node.

The table below reveals the relationships among the claims, conceptual areas, and DLM EEs in mathematics. The DLM EEs are represented with codes that reflect the domains in mathematics. For example, the first letter or digit represents the grade of record, the next code reflects the domain, followed by the number that aligns with the Common Core State Standard grade level expectation. As such, K.CC.1 is the code for the DLM EE that aligns with kindergarten (K), counting and cardinality (CC), standard 1. Keys to the codes can be found under the table.

Clearly articulated claims and conceptual areas for DLM served as an important evidence-centered framework within which this version of the DLM EEs was developed. With the claims and conceptual areas in place, the relationship between DLM EEs within a claim and conceptual area or across grade levels is easier to track and strengthen. The learning maps, as well as the claims and conceptual areas, had not yet been developed when the original versions of the DLM EEs were created. As such, the relationship of DLM EEs within and across grade levels was more difficult to evaluate at that time.

Table 1. Dynamic Learning Maps Claims and Conceptual Areas for Students with Significant Cognitive Disabilities in Mathematics

Claim 1	**Number Sense: Students demonstrate increasingly complex understanding of number sense.** Conceptual Areas in the Dynamic Learning Map: **MC 1.1 Understand number structures (counting, place value, fraction)** *Essential Elements Included: K.CC.1, 4 ,5; 1.NBT.1a-b; 2.NBT.2a-b,3; 3.NBT.1,2,3; 3.NF.1-3; 4.NF.1-2,3; 5.NF.1,2; 6.RP.1; 7.RP.1-3; 7.NS.2.c-d; 8.NS.2.a* **MC 1.2 Compare, compose, and decompose numbers and sets** *Essential Elements Included: K.CC.6; 1.NBT.2, 3, 4, 6; 2.NBT.1, 4, 5b; 4.NBT.2, 3; 5.NBT.1, 2, 3, 4; 6.NS.1, 5-8; 7.NS.3; 8.NS.2.b; 8.EE.3-4;* **MC 1.3 Calculate accurately and efficiently using simple arithmetic operations** *Essential Elements Included: 2.NBT.5.a, 6-7; 3.OA.4; 4.NBT.4, 5.NBT.5, 6-7; 6.NS.2, 3; 7.NS.1, 2.a, 2.b; 8.NS.1; 8.EE.1; N-CN.2.a, 2.b, 2.c; N-RN.1; S-CP.1-5; S-IC.1-2*
Claim 2	**Geometry: Students demonstrate increasingly complex spatial reasoning and understanding of geometric principles.** Conceptual Areas in the Dynamic Learning Map: **MC 2.1 Understand and use geometric properties of two- and three-dimensional shapes** *Essential Elements Included: K.MD.1-3; K.G.2-3; 1.G.1, 2; 2.G.1; 3.G.1; 4.G.1, 2; 4.MD.5, 6; 5.G.1-4; 5.MD.3; 7.G.1, 2, 3, 5; 8.G.1, 2, 4, 5; G-CO.1, 4-5, 6-8; G-GMD.1-3, 4* **MC 2.2 Solve problems involving area, perimeter, and volume** *Essential Elements Included: 1.G.3; 3.G.2; 4.G.3; 4.MD.3; 5.MD.4-5; 6.G.1, 2; 7.G.4, 6; 8.G.9; G-GMD.1-3; G-GPE.7*
Claim 3	**Measurement Data and Analysis: Students demonstrate increasingly complex understanding of measurement, data, and analytic procedures.** Conceptual Areas in the Dynamic Learning Map: **MC 3.1 Understand and use measurement principles and units of measure** *Essential Elements Included: 1.MD.1-2, 3.a, 3.b, 3.c, 3.d; 2.MD.1, 3-4, 5, 6, 7, 8; 3.MD.1, 2, 4; 4.MD.1, 2.a, 2.b, 2.c, 2.d; 5.MD.1.a, 1.b, 1.c; N-Q.1-3* **MC 3.2 Represent and interpret data displays** *Essential Elements Included: 1.MD.4; 2.MD.9-10; 3.MD.3; 4.MD.4.a, 4.b; 5.MD.2; 6.SP.1-2, 5; 7.SP.1-2, 3, 5-7; 8.SP.4; S-ID. 1-2, 3, 4*
Claim 4	**Algebraic and functional reasoning: Students solve increasingly complex mathematical problems, making productive use of algebra and functions.** Conceptual Areas in the Dynamic Learning Map: **MC 4.1. Use operations and models to solve problems** *Essential Elements Included: K.OA.1, 1.a, 1.b, 2, 5.a, 5.b; 2.OA.3, 4; 3.OA.1-2, 8; 4.OA.1-*

	2, 3, 4; 6.EE.1-2, 3, 5-7; 7.EE.1, 4; 8.EE.7; A-CED.1, 2-4; A-SSE.1, 3 **MC 4.2 Understand patterns and functional thinking** *Essential Elements Included: 3.OA.9; 4.OA.5; 5.OA.3; 7.EE.2; 8.EE.5-6; 8.F.1-3, 4, 5; A-REI.10-12; A-SSE.4; F-BF.1, 2; F-IF.1-3, 4-6; F-LE.1*

A-CED = creating equations; A-SSE = seeing structure in equations BF = building functions; CC = counting & cardinality; EE = expressions & equations; F-BF = basic fractions; F-IF = interpreting functions; G = geometry; G-GMD = geometric measurement & dimension; G-GPE = general properties & equations; MD = measurement & data; NBT = numbers & operations in base ten; N-CN = complex number system; NF = numbers & operations - fractions; N-RN = real number system; NS = number systems; N-Q = number & quantity; OA = operations & algebraic thinking; RP = ratios & proportional relationships; S-IC- statistics & probability - making inferences/justifying conclusions; S-ID = statistics & probability - interpreting categorical & quantitative data; SP = statistics & probability

Resulting Changes to the DLM Essential Elements

The development of the entire DLM Alternate Assessment System guided a final round of revisions to the DLM EEs, which can be organized into four broad categories: alignment across grade levels, language specificity, common core alignment, and defining learning expectations (rather than instructional tasks). The first type of revision was required to align the DLM EEs across grade levels, both vertically and horizontally. The maps, and the research supporting them, were critical in determining the appropriate progression of skills and understandings from grade to grade. This alignment across grade levels was important within and across standards, strands, and domains. For example, in determining when it was appropriate to introduce concepts in mathematics regarding the relative position of objects, we had to consider the grade level at which prepositions that describe relative position were introduced in English language arts. Examining the research-based skill development outlined in the learning map aided in these kinds of determinations.

The articulation of the claims and conceptual areas reinforced the need for specific language in the DLM EEs to describe learning within an area. Because teams assigned to grade bands developed the first round of DLM EEs, the language choices from one grade to the next were not consistent. Even when closely related skills, concepts, or understandings were targeted, the same terms were not always selected to describe the intended learning outcome. The teams of content experts who worked on this revised version of the DLM EEs were very intentional in selecting a common set of terms to reflect the claims and conceptual areas and applied them consistently across the entire set of DLM EEs.

Another important change in this version of the DLM EEs involved alignment to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). Given that the DLM EEs are intended to clarify the bridge to the CCSS expectations for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities, it is critical that alignment be as close as possible without compromising learning and development over time. While there was never a one-to-one correspondence between the CCSS and the DLM EEs, the revisions have made the alignment between the two more precise than it was in the first version.

Finally, revisions to the DLM EEs involved shifting the focus of a small number of DLM EEs that were written in the form of instructional tasks rather than learning expectations, and adding “With guidance and support” to the beginning of a few of the DLM EEs in the primary grades in English language arts to reflect the expectations articulated in the CCSS.

Members of the DLM consortium reviewed each of the changes to the original version of the DLM EEs. Four states provided substantive feedback on the revisions, and this document incorporates the changes those teams suggested.

Access to Instruction and Assessment

The DLM EEs specify learning targets for students with significant cognitive disabilities; however, they do not describe all of the ways that students can engage in instruction or demonstrate understanding through an assessment. Appropriate modes of communication, both for presentation or response, are not stated in the DLM EEs unless a specific mode is an expectation. Where no limitation has been stated, no limitation should be inferred. Students' opportunities to learn and to demonstrate learning during assessment should be maximized by providing whatever communication, assistive technologies, augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) devices, or other access tools that are necessary and routinely used by the student during instruction.

Students with significant cognitive disabilities include a broad range of students with diverse disabilities and communication needs. For some students with significant cognitive disabilities, a range of assistive technologies is required to access content and demonstrate achievement. For other students, AAC devices or accommodations for hearing and visual impairments will be needed. During instruction, teams should meet individual student needs using whatever technologies and accommodations are required. Examples of some of the ways that students may use technology while learning and demonstrating learning are topics for professional development, and include:

- communication devices that compensate for a student's physical inability to produce independent speech.
- alternate access devices that compensate for a student's physical inability to point to responses, turn pages in a book, or use a pencil or keyboard to answer questions or produce writing.

Guidance and Support

The authors of the CCSS use the words "prompting and support" at the earliest grade levels to indicate when students are not expected to achieve standards completely independently. Generally, "prompting" refers to "the action of saying something to persuade, encourage, or remind someone to do or say something" (McKean, 2005). However, in special education, prompting is often used to mean a system of structured cues to elicit desired behaviors that otherwise would not occur. In order to clearly communicate that teacher assistance is permitted during instruction of the DLM EEs and is not limited to structured prompting procedures, the decision was made by the stakeholder group to use the more general term *guidance* throughout the DLM EEs.

Guidance and support during instruction should be interpreted as teacher encouragement, general assistance, and informative feedback to support the student in learning. Some examples of the kinds of teacher behaviors that would be considered guidance and support include verbal supports, such as

- getting the student started (e.g., "Tell me what to do first."),
- providing a hint in the right direction without revealing the answer (e.g., Student wants to write *dog* but is unsure how, so the teacher might say, "See if you can write the first letter in the word, /d/og [phonetically pronounced]."),
- using structured technologies such as task-specific word banks, or
- providing structured cues such as those found in prompting procedures (e.g., least-to-most prompts, simultaneous prompting, and graduated guidance).

Guidance and support as described above applies to instruction and is also linked to demonstrating learning relative to DLM EEs, where guidance and support is specifically called out within the standards.

Conclusion

Developing the research-based model of knowledge and skill development represented in the DLM Learning Maps supported the articulation of assessment claims for mathematics and English language arts. This articulation subsequently allowed for a careful revision of the DLM EEs to reflect both horizontal alignment with the CCSS and vertical alignment across the grades, with the goal of moving students toward more sophisticated understandings in both domains. Though the contributions made by Edvantia and our state partners in developing the initial set of DLM EEs were a critical first step, additional revisions to the DLM EEs were required to ensure consistency across all elements of the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment System.

APPENDIX

Development of the Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements has been a collaborative effort among practitioners, researchers, and our state representatives. Listed below are the reviews and the individuals involved with each round of improvements to the Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements. Thank you to all of our contributors.

Review of Draft Two of Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements

A special thanks to all of the experts nominated by their state to review draft two of the Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements. We are grateful for your time and efforts to improve these standards for students with significant cognitive disabilities. Your comments have been incorporated into this draft. The states with teams who reviewed draft two include:

Illinois	Oklahoma
Iowa	Utah
Kansas	Virginia
Michigan	West Virginia
Missouri	Wisconsin

Development of the Original Dynamic Learning Maps Common Core Essential Elements

A special thanks to Edvantia and the team of representatives from Dynamic Learning Maps consortium states who developed the original Common Core Essential Elements upon which the revised Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements are based. The team from Edvantia who led the original effort included:

Jan Sheinker, Sheinker Educational Services, Inc.
Beth Judy, Director, Assessment, Alignment, and Accountability Services
Nathan Davis, Information Technology Specialist
Kristen Deitrick, Corporate Communications Specialist

Linda Jones, Executive Assistant

Representatives from Dynamic Learning Maps consortium states included:

IOWA
SEA Representatives: Tom Deeter, Emily Thatcher
Stakeholders: Peggy Akins, Judy Hamer, Kathleen Kvamme-Promes, Donna Shaw

KANSAS
SEA Representatives: Debbie Matthews, Kris Shaw
Stakeholders: Debby Byrne, Holly Draper, Dawn Gresham, Linda Hickey

MICHIGAN
SEA Representatives: Joanne Wilkelman, Adam Wyse
Stakeholders: Debra Susan Asano, Thomai Gersh, Marcia O'Brian, Terri Portice

MISSOURI
SEA Representatives: Lynn Everett, Jane VanDeZande
Stakeholders: Melia Franklin, Lou Ann Hoover, Debbie Jameson, Kate Sadler

NEW JERSEY
SEA Representatives: Melanie O'Dea
Stakeholders: Brenda Berrios, Neal Webster, Tina Yurcho

NORTH CAROLINA
SEA Representatives: Claire Greer, Sarah Reives
Stakeholders: Emma Hatfield-Sidden, Judy Jennings, Jennifer Michalenok,

OKLAHOMA
SEA Representatives: Jennifer Burnes, Amy Dougherty
Stakeholders: Pam Cox, Dianna Daubenspeck, Sondra LeGrande, Christie Stephenson

UTAH
SEA Representatives: Wendy Carver, Kurt Farnsworth
Stakeholders: James Bray, Janice Hill, Linda Stallviere, Ryan Webb

VIRGINIA
SEA Representative: John Eisenberg
Stakeholders: Maria Beck, Daniel Blegun, Al Klugh, Cheryl Ann Prevatte

WASHINGTON

SEA Representatives: Judy Kraft, Janice Tornow
Stakeholders: Annalisa Brewster, Kim Cook, Jeffrey Dunn, Kimberly Perisho

WEST VIRGINIA
SEA Representatives: Beth Cipoletti, Melissa Gholson
Stakeholders: Robert Bartlett, Gerald Hartley, Angel Harris, Angela See

WISCONSIN
SEA Representatives: Emilie Amundson, Kristen Burton
Stakeholders: Lori Hillyer, Tamara Maxwell, Connie Persike, Sara Vold

DYNAMIC LEARNING MAPS ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS FOR FIFTH GRADE

Fifth Grade Mathematics Domain: Operations and Algebraic Thinking

CCSS Grade-Level Standards	DLM Essential Elements
CLUSTER: Write and interpret numerical expressions.	
5.OA.1. Use parentheses, brackets, or braces in numerical expressions, and evaluate expressions with these symbols.	Not applicable.
5.OA.2. Write simple expressions that record calculations with numbers, and interpret numerical expressions without evaluating them. *For example, express the calculation "add 8 and 7, then multiply by 2" as 2 × (8 + 7). Recognize that 3 × (18932 + 921) is three times as large as 18932 + 921, without having to calculate the indicated sum or product.*	Not applicable.
CLUSTER: Analyze patterns and relationships.	
5.OA.3. Generate two numerical patterns using two given rules. Identify apparent relationships between corresponding terms. Form ordered pairs consisting of corresponding terms from the two patterns, and graph the ordered pairs on a coordinate plane. *For example, given the rule "Add 3" and the starting number 0, and given the rule "Add 6" and the starting number 0, generate terms in the resulting sequences, and observe that the terms in one sequence are twice the corresponding terms in the other sequence. Explain informally why this is so.*	**EE.5.OA.3.** Identify and extend numerical patterns.

Fifth Grade Mathematics Domain: Number and Operations in Base Ten

CCSS Grade-Level Standards	DLM Essential Elements
CLUSTER: Understand the place value system.	
5.NBT.1. Recognize that in a multi-digit number, a digit in one place represents 10 times as much as it represents in the place to its right and 1/10 of what it represents in the place to its left.	**EE.5.NBT.1.** Compare numbers up to 99 using base ten models.
5.NBT.2. Explain patterns in the number of zeros of the product when multiplying a number by powers of 10, and explain patterns in the placement of the decimal point when a decimal is multiplied or divided by a power of 10. Use whole-number exponents to denote powers of 10.	**EE.5.NBT.2.** Use the number of zeros in numbers that are powers of 10 to determine which values are equal, greater than, or less than.
5.NBT.3. Read, write, and compare decimals to thousandths.	**EE.5.NBT.3.** Compare whole numbers up to 100 using symbols (<, >, =).
5.NBT.3.a. Read and write decimals to thousandths using base-ten numerals, number names, and expanded form, e.g., 347.392 = 3 × 100 + 4 × 10 + 7 × 1 + 3 × (1/10) + 9 × (1/100) + 2 × (1/1000).	
5.NBT.3.b. Compare two decimals to thousandths based on meanings of the digits in each place, using >, =, and < symbols to record the results of comparisons.	
5.NBT.4. Use place value understanding to round decimals to any place.	**EE.5.NBT.4.** Round two-digit whole numbers to the nearest 10 from 0—90.
CLUSTER: Perform operations with multi-digit whole numbers and with decimals to hundredths.	
5.NBT.5. Fluently multiply multi-digit whole numbers using the standard algorithm.	**EE.5.NBT.5.** Multiply whole numbers up to 5 × 5.
5.NBT.6. Find whole-number quotients of whole numbers with up to four-digit dividends and two-digit divisors, using strategies based on place value, the properties of operations, and/or the relationship between multiplication and division. Illustrate and explain the calculation by using equations, rectangular arrays, and/or area models.	**EE.5.NBT.6–7.** Illustrate the concept of division using fair and equal shares.
5.NBT.7. Add, subtract, multiply, and divide decimals to hundredths, using concrete models or drawings and strategies based on place value, properties of operations, and/or the relationship between addition and subtraction; relate the strategy to a written method and explain the reasoning used.	

Fifth Grade Mathematics Domain: Number and Operations—Fractions

CCSS Grade-Level Standards	DLM Essential Elements
CLUSTER: Use equivalent fractions as a strategy to add and subtract fractions.	
5.NF.1. Add and subtract fractions with unlike denominators (including mixed numbers) by replacing given fractions with equivalent fractions in such a way as to produce an equivalent sum or difference of fractions with like denominators. *For example, 2/3 + 5/4 = 8/12 + 15/12 = 23/12. (In general, a/b + c/d = (ad + bc)/bd.)*	**EE.5.NF.1.** Identify models of halves (1/2, 2/2) and fourths (1/4, 2/4, 3/4, 4/4).
5.NF.2. Solve word problems involving addition and subtraction of fractions referring to the same whole, including cases of unlike denominators, e.g., by using visual fraction models or equations to represent the problem. Use benchmark fractions and number sense of fractions to estimate mentally and assess the reasonableness of answers. *For example, recognize an incorrect result 2/5 + 1/2 = 3/7, by observing that 3/7 < 1/2.*	**EE.5.NF.2.** Identify models of thirds (1/3. 2/3, 3/3) and tenths (1/10, 2/10, 3/10, 4/10, 5/10, 6/10, 7/10, 8/10, 9/10, 10/10).
CLUSTER: Apply and extend previous understandings of multiplication and division to multiply and divide fractions.	
5.NF.3. Interpret a fraction as division of the numerator by the denominator (*a*/*b* = *a* ÷ *b*). Solve word problems involving division of whole numbers leading to answers in the form of fractions or mixed numbers, e.g., by using visual fraction models or equations to represent the problem. *For example, interpret 3/4 as the result of dividing 3 by 4, noting that 3/4 multiplied by 4 equals 3, and that when 3 wholes are shared equally among 4 people each person has a share of size 3/4. If 9 people want to share a 50-pound sack of rice equally by weight, how many pounds of rice should each person get? Between what two whole numbers does your answer lie?*	Not applicable. See **EE.6.RP.1**.
5.NF.4. Apply and extend previous understandings of multiplication to multiply a fraction or whole number by a fraction.	Not applicable.
5.NF.4.a. Interpret the product (*a*/*b*) × *q* as *a* parts of a partition of *q* into *b* equal parts; equivalently, as the result of a sequence of operations *a* × *q* ÷ *b*. *For example, use a visual fraction model to show (2/3) × 4 = 8/3, and create a story context for this equation. Do the same with (2/3) × (4/5) = 8/15. (In general, (a/b) × (c/d) = ac/bd.)*	
5.NF.4.b. Find the area of a rectangle with fractional side lengths by tiling it with unit squares of the appropriate unit fraction side lengths, and show that the area is the same as would be found by multiplying the side lengths. Multiply fractional side lengths to find areas of rectangles, and represent fraction products as rectangular areas.	

CCSS Grade-Level Standards	DLM Essential Elements
5.NF.5. Interpret multiplication as scaling (resizing), by:	Not applicable.
5.NF.5.a. Comparing the size of a product to the size of one factor on the basis of the size of the other factor, without performing the indicated multiplication.	
5.NF.5.b. Explaining why multiplying a given number by a fraction greater than 1 results in a product greater than the given number (recognizing multiplication by whole numbers greater than 1 as a familiar case); explaining why multiplying a given number by a fraction less than 1 results in a product smaller than the given number; and relating the principle of fraction equivalence $a/b = (n \times a)/(n \times b)$ to the effect of multiplying a/b by 1.	
5.NF.6. Solve real world problems involving multiplication of fractions and mixed numbers, e.g., by using visual fraction models or equations to represent the problem.	Not applicable. See **EE.10.N-CN.2.b**.
5.NF.7. Apply and extend previous understandings of division to divide unit fractions by whole numbers and whole numbers by unit fractions.[18]	Not applicable. See **EE.7.NS.2.b**.
5.NF.7.a. Interpret division of a unit fraction by a non-zero whole number, and compute such quotients. *For example, create a story context for (1/3) ÷ 4, and use a visual fraction model to show the quotient. Use the relationship between multiplication and division to explain that (1/3) ÷ 4 = 1/12 because (1/12) × 4 = 1/3.*	
5.NF.7.b. Interpret division of a whole number by a unit fraction, and compute such quotients. *For example, create a story context for 4 ÷ (1/5), and use a visual fraction model to show the quotient. Use the relationship between multiplication and division to explain that 4 ÷ (1/5) = 20 because 20 × (1/5) = 4.*	
5.NF.7.c. Solve real-world problems involving division of unit fractions by non-zero whole numbers and division of whole numbers by unit fractions, e.g., by using visual fraction models and equations to represent the problem. *For example, how much chocolate will each person get if 3 people share 1/2 lb of chocolate equally? How many 1/3-cup servings are in 2 cups of raisins?*	

[18] Students able to multiply fractions in general can develop strategies to divide fractions in general, by reasoning about the relationship between multiplication and division. But division of a fraction by a fraction is not a requirement at this grade.

Fifth Grade Mathematics Domain: Measurement and Data

CCSS Grade-Level Standards	DLM Essential Elements
CLUSTER: Convert like measurement units within a given measurement system.	
5.MD.1. Convert among different-sized standard measurement units within a given measurement system (e.g., convert 5 cm to 0.05 m), and use these conversions in solving multi-step, real-world problems.	**EE.5.MD.1.a.** Tell time using an analog or digital clock to the half or quarter hour.
	EE.5.MD.1.b. Use standard units to measure weight and length of objects.
	EE.5.MD.1.c. Indicate relative value of collections of coins.
CLUSTER: Represent and interpret data.	
5.MD.2. Make a line plot to display a data set of measurements in fractions of a unit (1/2, 1/4, 1/8). Use operations on fractions for this grade to solve problems involving information presented in line plots. *For example, given different measurements of liquid in identical beakers, find the amount of liquid each beaker would contain if the total amount in all the beakers were redistributed equally.*	**EE.5.MD.2.** Represent and interpret data on a picture, line plot, or bar graph.
CLUSTER: Geometric measurement: understand concepts of volume, and relate volume to multiplication and to addition.	
5.MD.3. Recognize volume as an attribute of solid figures and understand concepts of volume measurement.	**EE.5.MD.3.** Identify common three-dimensional shapes.
5.MD.3.a. A cube with side length 1 unit, called a "unit cube," is said to have "one cubic unit" of volume, and can be used to measure volume.	
5.MD.3.b. A solid figure, which can be packed without gaps or overlaps using *n* unit cubes, is said to have a volume of *n* cubic units.	

CCSS Grade-Level Standards	DLM Essential Elements
5.MD.4. Measure volumes by counting unit cubes, using cubic cm, cubic in., cubic ft, and improvised units.	**EE.5.MD.4–5.** Determine the volume of a rectangular prism by counting units of measure (unit cubes).
5.MD.5. Relate volume to the operations of multiplication and addition, and solve real-world and mathematical problems involving volume.	
5.MD.5.a. Find the volume of a right rectangular prism with whole-number side lengths by packing it with unit cubes, and show that the volume is the same as would be found by multiplying the edge lengths, equivalently by multiplying the height by the area of the base. Represent threefold whole-number products as volumes, e.g., to represent the associative property of multiplication.	
5.MD.5.b. Apply the formulas $V = l \times w \times h$ and $V = b \times h$ for rectangular prisms to find volumes of right rectangular prisms with whole-number edge lengths in the context of solving real-world and mathematical problems.	
5.MD.5.c. Recognize volume as additive. Find volumes of solid figures composed of two non-overlapping right rectangular prisms by adding the volumes of the non-overlapping parts, applying this technique to solve real-world problems.	

Fifth Grade Mathematics Domain: Geometry

CCSS Grade-Level Standards	DLM Essential Elements
CLUSTER: Graph points on the coordinate plane to solve real-world and mathematical problems.	
5.G.1. Use a pair of perpendicular number lines, called axes, to define a coordinate system, with the intersection of the lines (the origin) arranged to coincide with the 0 on each line and a given point in the plane located by using an ordered pair of numbers, called its coordinates. Understand that the first number indicates how far to travel from the origin in the direction of one axis, and the second number indicates how far to travel in the direction of the second axis, with the convention that the names of the two axes and the coordinates correspond (e.g., *x*-axis and *x*-coordinate, *y*-axis and *y*-coordinate).	**EE.5.G.1-4.** Sort two-dimensional figures and identify the attributes (angles, number of sides, corners, color) they have in common.
5.G.2. Represent real world and mathematical problems by graphing points in the first quadrant of the coordinate plane, and interpret coordinate values of points in the context of the situation.	
CLUSTER: Classify two-dimensional figures into categories based on their properties.	
5.G.3. Understand that attributes belonging to a category of two-dimensional figures also belong to all subcategories of that category. *For example, all rectangles have four right angles and squares are rectangles, so all squares have four right angles.*	**EE.5.G.1-4.** Sort two-dimensional figures and identify the attributes (angles, number of sides, corners, color) they have in common.
5.G.4. Classify two-dimensional figures in a hierarchy based on properties.	